

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/930,838

Applicant(s)

AMM ET AL.

Examiner

John Juba, Jr.

Art Unit

2872

All Participants:

(1) John Juba, Jr.

(2) Mr. Thomas B. Haverstock.

Status of Application: Allowed herewith

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

31, 36, and 37

Prior art documents discussed:

Kowarz, et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed upon specific changes in claim 31 as would more clearly distinguish over Kowarz, et al. Agreed to a similar change in claim 37 as would obviate a rejection over Kowarz, et al. Agreed upon a similar change in claim 36 as would even further distinguish over the prior art. .